The Affordable Care Act and Medicaid Expansion may help states decrease waiting lists for home and community-based services (HCBS).

- States expanding Medicaid have saved millions in spending on people with disabilities, savings that could be used to expand HCBS programs.
- From 2013 to 2015, states that expanded Medicaid added only one quarter the number of people to their waitlists as states that did not expand Medicaid.
- Nine of the 11 states reporting no waiting lists expanded Medicaid.

The ACA helps expand HCBS.

- The ACA includes important provisions to increase, improve, and extend options through which states may provide HCBS.

Medicaid expansion helps provide services for people on waiting lists.

- Expanded eligibility for Medicaid means that some of people on waiting lists who could not qualify for Medicaid under the traditional categories now access Medicaid services, which includes some community-based services.

Block granting and per capita caps for Medicaid will likely increase HCBS waiting lists.

- Proposals to decrease federal Medicaid spending through block grants or per capita caps will drastically decrease federal funding to states without significantly changing state responsibilities to care for people with disabilities and other populations served by HCBS.
- HCBS services are cost-efficient relative to institutions, but are still costly and thus unlikely to be expanded under decreased federal funding.

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) and Medicaid expansion provide states opportunities to decrease waiting lists for Medicaid home and community-based services (HCBS) and generally increase access to services. HCBS programs offer states a great degree of flexibility.
to design a Medicaid-funded program that targets a special set of Medicaid services only to a limited population, both in size and type of service needs. Demand for HCBS programs has increased with the growth in the population of people with disabilities and as states move away from institutional settings for people with disabilities. The demand for Medicaid HCBS outpaced available slots in such programs soon after the programs began.¹ The ACA responded to the increasing demand for HCBS by increasing opportunities and incentives for states to expand and innovate their HCBS programs. The ACA also created Medicaid expansion, which covers many adults with disabilities, including some on HCBS waiting lists, who previously fell into coverage gaps and could not access non-HCBS Medicaid services. The ACA has had a positive impact on those needing HCBS.

**HCBS waivers are designed to allow waitlists as part of state flexibility.**

In general, Medicaid programs cannot have waiting lists for services—if a person qualifies for a service, that service must be available to him.² Since 1981, states have been able to use HCBS waivers to provide long-term services and supports outside of institutions. These waiver programs allow states to waive certain Medicaid requirements and allow them to craft a program of eligibility and services that are not available to the broader population of Medicaid enrollees and applicants, such as respite for family caregivers. States can also cap enrollment for these programs and have a waitlist if demand is greater than the enrollment cap. States have a great deal of flexibility in HCBS programs and may ask to increase the enrollment cap or otherwise amend their programs as needs of the HCBS population changes. For example, many states have HCBS programs that allow a person to have greater income than in traditional Medicaid or the state may treat a child as his own household so the parental income will not count in an eligibility determination.

**Medicaid expansion may help states shorten waiting lists.**

States that expanded Medicaid have generated savings and revenue that not only offset the cost of expansion, but also create a surplus that could be used to expand HCBS.³ For example, Arkansas saved a projected $45.4 million in spending just on enrollees with disabilities in SFY 2016.⁴ Since Arkansas spends an average of about $20,100 per waiver recipient per year, those savings could pay for over 2,200 new waiver slots; an increase that could nearly cut the state’s waitlist by over 75 percent.⁵ Similarly, if Virginia expanded Medicaid, the estimated savings and revenue would generate about $500 million over the next 9 years, which, even accounting for the state share of the expansion, could move thousands of people off Virginia’s waiting lists.⁶ In contrast, it would cost Texas $2.4 billion in state funds to clear the waitlists, just accounting for the HCBS costs, but would only cost $310 million in state funds for Medicaid expansion.⁷ This difference reflects the enhanced federal match for Medicaid expansion and the state examples show how Medicaid expansion may improve
Waiting lists but that refusing to expand Medicaid would not generally resolve the waiting lists issue.

Expanding Medicaid does not increase waiting lists while instead providing services to adults without disabilities. Overall, from 2013 to 2015, the 19 states that have not expanded Medicaid added a total of over 86,000 people to their waiting lists, more than four times the total waiting list growth in the 31 states (and Washington, D.C.) that did expand Medicaid. In fact, nine of the 11 states that report they have no waiting lists are expansion states and the states with the longest waiting lists have not expanded Medicaid.

The ACA helps states expand HCBS.

The ACA did not exclude people with disabilities or fail to address HCBS waiting lists. In fact, the ACA included provisions to expand HCBS availability in states by increasing, improving, and extending options through which states may provide HCBS. The ACA also created the Community First Choice option and the Balancing Incentives Payment Program, which reward states through increased federal matching funds to increase access to HCBS programs — much the same way states are rewarded for expanding Medicaid. Evidence suggests that people have shifted from traditional HCBS waivers into these new HCBS programs related to the ACA. This indicates a broader availability of HCBS and thus less pressure on the traditional HCBS programs that have waitlists.

Medicaid expansion helps provide services for people on waiting lists.

The ACA does not shift resources from people with disabilities on waitlists, but brings other people with disabilities into Medicaid who previously had no or very limited access to needed health care. In states that expanded Medicaid, many adults who have disabilities that do not meet the “disabled” standard for Medicaid or whose income exceeds some of the limited Medicaid eligibility categories, such as for parent-caretakers, have gained access to necessary Medicaid services. This includes individuals with disabilities, such as adults with autism or chronic illnesses. In these states, such individuals may now join the large majority of people on waiting lists who receive non-HCBS Medicaid services, such as medical care and some in-home services such as personal care services in some states. The services for people on waiting lists “pie” did not get split among more people, but instead got bigger such that more people with disabilities actually receive some pie.

HCBS waiting lists will get longer and slower under Medicaid block grants and per capita caps.

Proposals to change the Medicaid program by capping federal spending through block grants or per capita caps will likely make HCBS waiting lists much worse. Such proposals will do exactly what they say, cut federal spending. However, this cut in federal spending simply shifts
costs to the states. States will not have substantially different responsibilities under block grants and will have less federal funding, yet their citizens will still have the same needs. While HCBS is more cost-efficient than institutional care, it is still a significant part of state budgets. Given that HCBS participants are often some of the most costly when looking at Medicaid per person expenditure averages by group, it is likely that when looking to save money, states look at trimming, not expanding, HCBS programs.

The responsibility on states to meet the needs of people with disabilities, which has historically focused on institutional care, does not go away under decreased funding, but will only rise as our population ages and the prevalence of disability continues to increase. State funded institutions and services for people with disabilities pre-date Medicaid by more than 100 years. There is no indication that block grants or per capita caps will do anything to eliminate this duty, but overwhelming evidence indicates they would sharply cut federal funding and thus make it harder for states to provide these costly and critical HCBS services. Promises of increased flexibility are not likely to give the states more money to work with such that they could really expand HCBS.

**Waiting lists for HCBS are not new.**

Waiting lists for HCBS are part of the design of many HCBS programs offered through Medicaid 1915(c) or 1115 waivers, which allow states to create waiting lists that otherwise would not be permissible. HCBS programs are generally a “win-win” for both state budgets and people with disabilities. People with disabilities and their families generally prefer HCBS over institutional alternatives, while HCBS are also more cost-efficient and help states meet legal obligations regarding the rights of people with disabilities. Although HCBS programs have become increasingly more cost-efficient, waiting lists have grown almost every year since they have been tracked, as have state HCBS expenditures. Although there is variation among states and HCBS programs, from 2005 to 2015 waiting lists increased by an average rate of 14 percent per year. This increase could reflect the increased prevalence of people with disabilities in the U.S. population. However, the lists likely represent fewer people than it may appear, as many people are on waiting lists for multiple HCBS programs, but will ultimately only use one. States have expanded HCBS enrollment at a rate of about 6 percent each year, but this expansion has not kept up with the population requesting the services.

The size of waiting lists depends on the HCBS program and the state. People with intellectual and/or development disabilities (ID/DD) represent most of the waiting list population, even though physical/ambulatory disability is the most prevalent disability in the United States. Although people with ID/DD are the most prevalent waitlist population, children’s HCBS programs are the most likely to have waiting lists. However, waiting lists for children’s programs are actually concentrated in certain states. For example, two-thirds of the children’s
program waitlist population is concentrated in Texas, a state that has not expanded Medicaid.25
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